

Psychological Trauma: Theory, Research, Practice, and Policy

The Impact of Mindfulness Training on Posttraumatic Stress Disorder Symptoms, Subjective Sleep Quality, and Objective Sleep Outcomes in Police Officers

Theodore P. Imhoff-Smith and Daniel W. Grupe

Online First Publication, August 31, 2023. <https://dx.doi.org/10.1037/tra0001566>

CITATION

Imhoff-Smith, T. P., & Grupe, D. W. (2023, August 31). The Impact of Mindfulness Training on Posttraumatic Stress Disorder Symptoms, Subjective Sleep Quality, and Objective Sleep Outcomes in Police Officers. *Psychological Trauma: Theory, Research, Practice, and Policy*. Advance online publication. <https://dx.doi.org/10.1037/tra0001566>

The Impact of Mindfulness Training on Posttraumatic Stress Disorder Symptoms, Subjective Sleep Quality, and Objective Sleep Outcomes in Police Officers

Theodore P. Imhoff-Smith^{1, 2} and Daniel W. Grupe²
¹Neuroscience Training Program, University of Wisconsin–Madison
²Center for Healthy Minds, University of Wisconsin–Madison

Objective: Sleep disturbances cooccur with posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD) and are often correlated with PTSD severity. Previous research has shown that sleep problems mediate the relationship between PTSD and negative physical and mental health outcomes but has relied on self-reported sleep quality. We tested the effects of mindfulness training—previously shown to improve sleep quality and reduce PTSD symptoms—on subjective and objective sleep metrics and relationships with reduced PTSD symptoms. **Method:** Following baseline data collection in 114 law enforcement officers, we randomly assigned participants to either an 8-week mindfulness training group or a waitlist control group. We repeated assessments immediately posttraining and at 3-month follow-up. Self-reported PTSD symptoms and subjective sleep quality were measured at each visit with the PTSD checklist and Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index (PSQI), respectively. Participants also wore a Fitbit Charge 2 continuously over the course of a 4- to 6-day work week following each visit, from which we extracted two distinct objective sleep metrics: total minutes of sleep and sleep efficiency. **Results:** At baseline, PTSD symptoms were correlated with PSQI scores but not objective Fitbit metrics. Relative to waitlist, mindfulness training led to improved subjective sleep quality and reduced PTSD symptoms. Reduced PTSD symptoms mediated the improvement in subjective sleep quality following mindfulness training. Neither objective sleep metric demonstrated improvements following mindfulness training, nor did these metrics mediate reduced PTSD symptoms. **Conclusions:** This study provides evidence linking improved subjective sleep quality, but not objective sleep markers, to reductions in PTSD symptoms following mindfulness training.

Clinical Impact Statement

Prior work has demonstrated improvements in subjective sleep quality and reduced posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD) symptoms following mindfulness training, but relationships with objective sleep metrics have been largely untested. In this study of trauma-exposed individuals with significant sleep disturbances, we found that improvements in subjective sleep quality following a mindfulness intervention were mediated by reduced PTSD symptoms, whereas objective sleep metrics showed no change in response to mindfulness training. This highlights the value of subjective reports of sleep quality which, compared to objective, real-world indicators of sleep quality, provide unique insight into etiology and recovery from PTSD.

Keywords: mindfulness, sleep, posttraumatic stress disorder, trauma, actigraphy

Supplemental materials: <https://doi.org/10.1037/tra0001566.supp>

Daniel W. Grupe  <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4927-7572>

This work was funded by the National Institute of Justice, Office of Justice Programs, U.S. Department of Justice (Grant 2017-R2-CX-0033 to Daniel W. Grupe) and the National Institutes of Health (National Institute of Mental Health Grant K01MH117222 to Daniel W. Grupe; National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke Grant T32 NS105602; Clinical and Translational Science Award (CTSA) program, through the NIH National Center for Advancing Translational Sciences, Grant UL1TR002373). The authors have no known conflicts of interest to disclose. The opinions, findings, and conclusions or recommendations expressed here are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect those of our funders.

This study was preregistered on the OSF (<https://osf.io/mqnga>).

Theodore P. Imhoff-Smith served as lead for formal analysis, software, and writing—original draft and served in a supporting role for investigation, methodology, and project administration. Daniel W. Grupe served as lead for conceptualization, funding acquisition, investigation, project administration, supervision, and writing—review and editing, contributed equally to methodology, and served in a supporting role for formal analysis. Theodore P. Imhoff-Smith and Daniel W. Grupe contributed equally to data curation, visualization, and validation.

Correspondence concerning this article should be addressed to Daniel W. Grupe, Center for Healthy Minds, University of Wisconsin–Madison, 625 West Washington Avenue, Madison, WI 53703, United States. Email: grupe@wisc.edu

Posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD) is a debilitating psychiatric illness that can occur following traumatic experiences and interfere with day-to-day functioning for years. About 7% of the U.S. population will experience PTSD at some point during their life (Kessler et al., 2005), but the prevalence of the illness is elevated in highly trauma-exposed occupations such as law enforcement (Syed et al., 2020). Even subsyndromal or partial PTSD can lead to substantial social and work interference (Stein et al., 1997), and for high-pressure, sometimes life-or-death situations in which police officers work, there is little room for symptoms of PTSD that may compromise job performance (e.g., irritability and aggression, difficulty concentrating, and intrusive trauma reminders). This compounds the urgency to find effective treatments or evaluate preventive interventions to protect against the emergence of clinical manifestations of PTSD in police officers as trauma exposure accumulates over lengthy careers.

Sleep impairments are frequently comorbid with PTSD symptoms and, though historically considered to be secondary to PTSD (Spoomaker & Montgomery, 2008), they are increasingly believed to contribute to the etiology of PTSD and impede recovery (Babson & Feldner, 2010). People with PTSD experience decreased subjective sleep quality, more nightmares, increased time to fall asleep, and more frequent sleep disturbances (Yeh et al., 2021). Some sleep studies conducted in controlled laboratory settings have identified associations between PTSD and altered sleep architecture (Babson & Feldner, 2010), though improved subjective but not objective sleep measures were shown to track with reduced PTSD symptoms at 1-year follow-up (Yeh et al., 2021). Less is known about associations between PTSD symptoms and objective sleep measured “in the wild,” leaving open the question of whether objective sleep disturbances track with PTSD in naturalistic settings using unobtrusive data collection methods.

Impaired sleep has deleterious consequences for police officer health and well-being and in cross-sectional data has been shown to mediate the relationship between PTSD symptoms and downstream health outcomes (Chopko et al., 2021). Poor sleep impacts not just police officer health but also the health and well-being of the larger community: officers in a longitudinal study who screened positive for sleep disorders were more likely over the next 2 years to fall asleep while driving, commit a safety violation due to fatigue, or exhibit uncontrolled anger toward a citizen (Rajaratnam et al., 2011). The inextricable links between sleep, PTSD, and downstream health-related and functional consequences make impaired sleep an important target for intervention in this trauma-exposed population.

Mindfulness-based interventions (MBIs) are of particular interest in this regard, owing to their demonstrated efficacy at improving sleep quality (Rusch et al., 2019) and PTSD symptoms (Hopwood & Schutte, 2017), including in police officers (Grupe, McGehee, et al., 2021). Although meta-analyses have shown the efficacy of MBIs for clinically diagnosed insomnia (Chen et al., 2020) and PTSD (Hopwood & Schutte, 2017), MBIs also reduce symptoms of insomnia (S. H.-W. Chan et al., 2022) and PTSD (Grupe, McGehee, et al., 2021; Kelly & Garland, 2016) in participants without a clinical diagnosis. The appeal of MBIs lies partly in their broad benefits for enhancing well-being regardless of diagnosed insomnia, PTSD, or other conditions. Strength-focused MBIs emphasize the cultivation of skills to promote well-being and resilience in the face of significant trauma, a framework that resonates with police officers, a population in which mental health problems are stigmatized and help-seeking is discouraged (Tuckey et al., 2012).

Despite evidence for the effectiveness of MBIs in addressing clinical and subclinical symptoms of sleep and PTSD, covarying changes in sleep and PTSD symptoms following a mindfulness intervention have not, to our knowledge, been analyzed together within the same sample. Moreover, whereas meta-analyses of mindfulness interventions have consistently demonstrated benefits for subjective sleep quality among individuals with (Chen et al., 2020) and without (S. H.-W. Chan et al., 2022) diagnosed insomnia, the evidence for these interventions’ impact on objective measures of sleep is more subtle and equivocal (Gross et al., 2011; Kelly & Garland, 2016; Perini et al., 2023; Shaif et al., 2022). The present study seeks to unify current knowledge of relationships between sleep, PTSD, and MBIs by testing the impact of mindfulness training on PTSD symptoms and sleep quality using multimodal measurements of sleep in the same sample.

The current results represent secondary analyses of data from a randomized clinical trial examining the efficacy of an 8-week mindfulness intervention on physical and mental health outcomes in 114 Midwestern U.S. police officers (ClinicalTrials.gov identifier NCT03488875; Grupe, Stoller, et al., 2021). Our primary hypotheses (preregistered prior to the analysis or publication of primary study results) were as follows: (Hypothesis 1, H1) PTSD symptoms will be negatively correlated with both subjective and objective indicators of sleep quality at baseline; 8 weeks of mindfulness training will (Hypothesis 2, H2) reduce PTSD symptoms; (Hypothesis 3a, H3a) improve objective sleep quality and (Hypothesis 3b, H3b) improve subjective sleep quality; and (Hypothesis 4, H4) decreased PTSD symptoms will mediate improvements in subjective and/or objective indicators of sleep quality. In light of evidence that impaired sleep can also contribute to the etiology and maintenance of PTSD (Babson & Feldner, 2010), we tested an alternative (non-registered) mediation model in which improved sleep quality following mindfulness training mediated reduced PTSD symptoms.

Method

Participants and Recruitment

Participants included 115 currently employed law enforcement officers from three different agencies within Dane County, Wisconsin. Following a phone screening to confirm eligibility, these individuals completed informed consent and baseline in-person visits in one of two cohorts in spring 2018 (Cohort 1, $N = 60$) or 2019 (Cohort 2, $N = 55$). One participant from cohort 2 withdrew following consent but prior to baseline data collection, resulting in a sample of 114 for analysis ($M_{\text{age}} \pm SD = 40.0 \pm 8.4$; 47 female/57 male; 95 White, four Black or African American, three Asian or Asian American, two Native American, eight bi- or multiracial, two unknown race; three Hispanic/Latinx, 110 not Hispanic/Latinx; one unknown ethnicity). While participants’ work schedules varied depending on work assignment and agency, for the purpose of analysis we categorized them as working first shift (~0700–1500; $n = 57$), second shift (~1500–2300; $n = 43$), or third shift (~2300–0700; $n = 14$).

There were no inclusion criteria beyond current employment as a law enforcement officer with one of the three participating agencies. Exclusion criteria included significant prior meditation experience or previous participation in a meditation class such as mindfulness-based stress reduction. For the first cohort, individuals in leadership positions or sheriff’s deputies with a primary assignment in the jail

were excluded, but these individuals were eligible to take part in the second cohort. Additional participant information can be found in the primary outcomes paper (Grupe, Stoller, et al., 2021).

Study Design and Data Collection

This study was preregistered on Open Science Framework (OSF; Grupe & Imhoff-Smith, 2021). Prior to data processing, cleaning, or analyses, we registered a transparent changes document (<https://osf.io/bpjfz>) with planned changes to our analysis strategy. Any additional deviations from preregistered analyses and hypotheses are indicated below. All study activities were approved by the University of Wisconsin–Madison Minimal Risk Institutional Review Board. Although our preregistration was submitted prior to any data analysis on the overall project, a subset of the analyses reported here (specifically, those regarding the impact of mindfulness training on PTSD and subjective sleep quality, H2 and H3b) were previously reported in our primary outcomes paper (Grupe, Stoller, et al., 2021). Those results are again reported here for context and completeness as we present novel results on baseline relationships between objective sleep measures, subjective sleep quality, and PTSD symptoms, and on covarying changes between these measures over time and in response to mindfulness training.

At the baseline visit, participants completed a testing battery that included self-report questionnaires. At the end of the visit, participants shared their upcoming work schedule and the experimenter provided instructions for field data collection, including wearing a Fitbit Charge 2 continuously over the course of a work week. Following baseline data collection, participants were randomly assigned to a mindfulness group or waitlist control group. Block randomization occurred within each of the three police agencies and strata within each agency were based on class time preferences. The intervention was an 8-week mindfulness training based on mindfulness-based stress reduction (Kabat-Zinn, 1990) and mindfulness-based resilience training (Christopher et al., 2016) tailored specifically for the culture and unique stressors of policing (Grupe, McGehee, et al., 2021; see Methods in the online supplemental materials for an overview of the adapted curriculum). Adaptations included less out-of-class practice time, greater emphasis on occupationally specific examples of “informal” practices to integrate into daily activities, and involving a police officer as a co-instructor. The mindfulness group met weekly for 2 hr over 8 weeks, with a 4-hr extended class in week 6. Participants were encouraged to engage in 5–30 min of daily formal meditation practice using guided audio recordings, and they logged the duration of formal practice and frequency of integrated practice on a weekly basis.

Participants repeated all laboratory and field-based assessments from the baseline visit in the weeks immediately following the intervention (T2) and at a 3-month follow-up visit (T3). Participants completed T1 visits on average 15.4 days prior to randomization, T2 visits on average 12.1 days after the intervention, and T3 visits on average 106.0 days after the intervention. There was no difference in timing between groups at T2, $t(107) = 0.38$, $p = .71$, but the mindfulness group completed T3 visits on average 3 days later than the waitlist control group, 107.5 versus 104.6 days, $t(102) = 2.04$, $p = .04$.

Measures

Self-reported PTSD symptoms were quantified with the PTSD Checklist for DSM-5 (PCL-5; Blevins et al., 2015), a 20-item

measure that assesses the severity of PTSD symptoms on a scale of zero to 80 with higher scores indicating greater severity. The PCL-5 provides scores for total symptom severity and four specific symptom clusters (B: reexperiencing; C: avoidance; D: negative alterations in cognition and mood; E: hyperarousal). Subjective sleep quality was quantified with the Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index (PSQI; Buysse et al., 1989), a 19-item measure that assesses sleep quality and disturbances on a scale ranging from 0 to 21, with higher scores indicating greater sleep impairment. Instrument developers suggest a cutoff score of >5 yields diagnostic sensitivity of 89.6% and specificity of 86.5% in identifying individuals with “poor” versus “good” sleep quality (Buysse et al., 1989).

To measure sleep objectively, participants were provided with the same commercial-grade wearable (Fitbit Charge 2) following each study visit to wear during the upcoming work week, which ranged from 4 to 6 days. A prior validation study of the Fitbit Charge 2 against EEG in a naturalistic setting (Haghighyegh et al., 2020) reported unbiased estimates of wake after sleep onset and total sleep time but overestimates of sleep efficiency by 4%. Fitbits showed no differences in bias relative to actigraphy in this study. Another naturalistic validation study conducted in first responders and other shift workers (Stucky et al., 2021) reported unbiased estimates of total sleep time for the Fitbit Charge 2 relative to polysomnography (PSG) but overestimates of wake after sleep onset, likely attributable to use of the “sensitive” sleep tracking setting; in the current study, we set sleep sensitivity to “normal.” Fitbit-generated measures of sleep duration (in minutes) and sleep efficiency (minutes asleep/total time in bed; or the proportion of time a participant is in bed and not awake or sleeping restlessly) were extracted from the wearable for each night preceding the first day of work through the night preceding the final day of work.

Wearable Data Processing


Following sleep data extraction from the wearable, three data-cleaning steps were taken. First, sleep epochs that did not precede a scheduled workday were excluded. Second, sleep data were visually reviewed alongside heart rate and step data using in-house software. Epochs with apparently missing data—such as the participant not wearing the Fitbit, a dead battery, or the Fitbit not recording sleep during periods of clear inactivity likely reflecting sleep—were excluded. This visual review resulted in the exclusion of 94 of 1,382 total epochs. Third, individual timepoints were excluded if a participant had fewer than two valid nights of sleep at that timepoint (Rowe et al., 2008). Of the 114 participants enrolled, 109 (T1), 97 (T2), and 93 (T3) had sufficient sleep data and were included in the analysis (Figure 1).

Statistical Analysis

We first examined baseline associations between Fitbit sleep duration/efficiency and PSQI scores to relate objective sleep indices to this standard self-report measure of sleep quality. We then conducted linear regression analyses to test for hypothesized baseline relationships between PSQI and PCL using the `lm` function in R Statistics (R Core Team, 2020). For each remaining hypothesis, we used the `lmerTest` library in R to perform linear mixed effects analysis with repeated measures. Each model included a random slope for participants and models for Fitbit data additionally included a random intercept for the day of the workweek. All models used a Nelder–Mead optimizer

Figure 1

Count of Participants with X Valid Days of Sleep Data by Group and Timepoint



Note. T1 = Time 1 (baseline); T2 = Time 2 (post-intervention); T3 = Time 3 (3-month follow-up). See the online article for the color version of this figure.

and included fixed effect covariates of cohort (1/2), shift (first/second/third), gender, and years policing unless otherwise specified, resulting in a baseline model of, for example, $\text{minutesAsleep} \sim \text{PCL} + \text{cohort} + \text{workShift} + \text{day} + \text{gender} + \text{yearsPolicing} + (1 + \text{day} \mid \text{id})$. Intervention analyses (Hypotheses 2–3) included a $\text{Group} \times \text{Time}$ interaction term and an additional fixed effect covariate of mean T1 score for the response variable to adjust for baseline values. Mediation analyses were conducted using the *mediate* package in R to conduct causal mediation analysis with bootstrapping and 10,000 iterations, with group as the independent variable. Change scores were calculated for both sleep quality and PTSD symptoms (T2–T1 and T3–T1) and these change scores were used as mediator and outcome variables in the mediation models. Effect sizes throughout the manuscript are reported as partial η^2 .

Sensitivity Analyses

Models including self-report measures were run with and without outliers, defined as scores >3 standard deviations from the mean. Models were also run excluding participants who had less than three nights of sleep instead of two. A third set of sensitivity analyses repeated all analyses involving the PCL after removing two sleep-related items (item 2: “repeated, disturbing dreams of the stressful experience”; item 20: “trouble falling or staying asleep”). Results for all sensitivity analyses were consistent with primary analyses unless otherwise specified.

Results

Descriptive Statistics

At baseline, participants’ self-report indicated significant sleep disturbances: 70% of participants met a recommended cutoff (5) for “poor sleep” on the PSQI ($M = 7.6$, $SD = 3.5$; Table 1). PTSD symptoms were slightly elevated in this sample, with 17% of participants meeting a positive screening cutoff (31) for PTSD ($M = 17.5$, $SD = 13.2$; Table 1). Fitbit data indicated that participants obtained

Table 1

Self-Report Descriptive Statistics

Measure	Timepoint	<i>N</i>	Mean	<i>SD</i>	Range
PSQI	T1	107	7.6	3.5	0–18
	T2	96	6.8	3.1	1–15
	T3	93	7.2	3.3	0–17
PCL	T1	109	17.5	13.2	0–57
	T2	97	14.1	11.7	0–55
	T3	93	14.1	12.1	0–67

Note. T1 = Time 1 (baseline); T2 = Time 2 (post-intervention); T3 = Time 3 (3-month follow-up); PSQI = Pittsburgh sleep quality inventory; PCL = PTSD checklist.

on average 6.9 hr of sleep per night at baseline, with an average sleep efficiency score of 94.8 (Table 2).

Relationships Between Objective and Subjective Sleep Measures

Using the *lmerTest* library in R, we regressed total baseline PSQI scores, reflecting global sleep impairment, on our two Fitbit measures: minutes asleep and sleep efficiency. The test with minutes asleep was not significant, $t(103.4) = -0.032$, $p = .975$, $b = -0.05$, 95% $CI = [-3.29, 3.20]$, partial $\eta^2 = .00$, and sleep efficiency showed a trend-level negative relationship with total PSQI scores, $t(105.7) = -1.730$, $p = .087$, $b = -0.12$, $[-0.25, 0.02]$, partial $\eta^2 = .03$.

Next, we evaluated baseline correlations between Fitbit measures and related PSQI subscales, hypothesizing that specific Fitbit metrics would show stronger associations with similarly specific PSQI subscales than with global sleep impairment. Indeed, there was a significant association between PSQI hours asleep and Fitbit sleep duration, $t(105.3) = 2.290$, $p = .024$, $b = 12.84$, 95% $CI = [1.62, 23.97]$, partial $\eta^2 = .05$. Although the relationship between Fitbit efficiency and PSQI efficiency was not significant, $t(106.3) = 0.286$, $p = .776$, $b = 0.00$, 95% $CI = [-0.01, 0.02]$, partial $\eta^2 = .00$, there was a strong relationship between Fitbit efficiency and PSQI sleep disturbances, $t(106.5) = -3.707$, $p < .001$, $b = -1.26$, 95% $CI = [-1.95, -0.057]$, partial $\eta^2 = .11$, such that less time asleep while in bed was associated with a greater number and intensity of self-reported sleep disturbances.

Baseline Analyses

H1: PTSD and Sleep

To test the relationship between PTSD symptoms and sleep, we fit linear mixed effects models regressing PCL total symptom severity

Table 2

Fitbit Metric Descriptive Statistics

Measure	Timepoint	<i>N</i>	Mean	Median	<i>SD</i>	Range
Duration	T1	109	411.2	414.6	52.6	269.4–533
	T2	97	388.6	401.0	61.4	221.2–507.7
	T3	93	408.2	417.0	56.1	253.2–526.8
Efficiency	T1	109	94.8	95.0	2.4	88.8–98.8
	T2	97	94.9	95.2	2.1	89.5–99.2
	T3	93	94.8	95.2	2.1	89.3–98.2

Note. T1 = Time 1 (baseline); T2 = Time 2 (post-intervention); T3 = Time 3 (3-month follow-up).

on PSQI subjective sleep quality and Fitbit metrics of objective sleep. At baseline, there was a significant relationship between PSQI and PCL, $t(101) = 6.276$, $p < .001$, $b = 0.13$, 95% CI = [0.09, 0.17], partial $\eta^2 = .28$, but no relationship between baseline PTSD symptoms and objective sleep duration, $t(106.9) = 0.813$, $p = .418$, $b = 0.32$, [−0.47, 1.12], partial $\eta^2 = .00$, or sleep efficiency for the subsequent week, $t(107.8) = -0.206$, $p = .837$, $b = -0.00$, [−0.04, 0.03], partial $\eta^2 = .00$. After removing two PCL outliers, we saw a trend-level relationship between PTSD symptoms and objective sleep duration, $t(104.3) = 1.860$, $p = .066$, $b = 0.78$, 95% CI = [−0.06, 1.62], partial $\eta^2 = .03$.

Intervention-Related Analyses

H2: Mindfulness Training Reduces PTSD Symptoms

As we previously reported (Grupe, Stoller, et al., 2021), a model testing the effect of mindfulness training on PTSD symptoms revealed a significant Group $\times$ Time omnibus effect from an ANOVA test, $\chi^2(2, N = 11) = 7.44$, $p = .0242$, partial $\eta^2 = .07$. Controlling for baseline symptoms, there was a significant group difference at T2, $t(153.5) = 2.714$, $p = .007$, $b = 4.82$, 95% CI = [1.31, 8.32] and a trend-level difference at T3, $t(155.6) = 1.868$, $p = .064$, $b = 3.34$, [−0.19, 6.85]. Removing four PCL outliers resulted in a trend-level omnibus effect, $\chi^2(2, N = 11) = 5.35$, $p = .069$, partial $\eta^2 = .06$ and a significant effect of Group at T2, $t(158.5) = 2.333$, $p = .021$, $b = 3.60$, 95% CI = [0.56, 6.65], but not T3, $t(160.3) = 0.895$, $p = .372$, $b = 1.39$, [−1.68, 4.46].

H3: Mindfulness Training Improves Subjective but Not Objective Sleep

Mindfulness training improved subjective sleep quality on the PSQI, as indicated by a significant Group $\times$ Time omnibus effect resulting from an ANOVA test, $\chi^2(2, N = 11) = 6.75$, $p = .0342$, partial $\eta^2 = .07$ (Figure 2a; see Grupe, Stoller, et al., 2021). Controlling for baseline PSQI scores, the mindfulness group had lower PSQI scores than waitlist control at T3, $t(161.3) = 2.622$, $p = .010$, $b = 1.20$, 95% CI = [0.30, 2.10] but not at T2, $t(161.0) = 0.904$, $p = .367$, $b = 0.41$, [−0.49, 1.31].

Contrary to hypotheses, there was no omnibus Group $\times$ Time effect of mindfulness training on objective measures of sleep duration, $\chi^2(2, N = 14) = 1.94$, $p = .3787$, partial $\eta^2 = .01$ (Figure 2b) or efficiency, $\chi^2(2, N = 14) = 0.71$, $p = .7009$, partial $\eta^2 = .00$ (Figure 2c). Controlling for baseline sleep, there were no group differences at T2, duration: $t(168.1) = 0.233$, $p = .816$, $b = 2.17$, 95% CI = [−16.1, 20.75]; efficiency: $t(164.8) = -0.749$, $p = .455$, $b = -0.24$, [−0.88, 0.4] or T3, duration: $t(177.4) = -1.176$, $p = .241$, $b = -11.05$, [−29.62, 7.49]; efficiency: $t(175.7) = -0.690$, $p = .491$, $b = -0.23$, [−0.88, 0.42].


Mediation Analyses

H4: Decreased PTSD Symptoms Mediate Improvements in Sleep Quality

Using PCL change scores as a mediator of mindfulness-related improvements in subjective sleep quality, there was no significant mediation at T2 for the total (TE), direct (DE), or indirect (IE) effects

Figure 2

Sleep Outcomes as a Function of Group



Note. (a) Controlling for baseline scores, participants in the mindfulness group (left) had significantly lower sleep disturbance scores on the PSQI relative to waitlist control participants (right). In contrast, groups did not differ on objective Fitbit sleep metrics, including minutes of sleep (b) and sleep efficiency (c). Plotted summary variables represent group means and 95% confidence intervals. T1 = Time 1 (baseline); T2 = Time 2 (post-intervention); T3 = Time 3 (3-month follow-up); PSQI = Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index. See the online article for the color version of this figure.

(TE: $b = -0.78$, $p = .093$; DE: $b = -0.53$, $p = .254$; IE: $b = -0.25$, $p = .215$; 32% TE accounted for by reduced PTSD symptoms). However, reduced PTSD symptoms from T1 to T3 mediated improvements in subjective sleep over the same interval (TE: $b = -1.55$, $p = .007$; DE: $b = -1.11$, $p = .049$; IE: $b = -0.45$, $p = .047$; 29% TE accounted for by reduced PTSD symptoms; Figure S1 in the online supplemental materials). This mediation effect was reduced to a trend level in a sensitivity analysis excluding two sleep-related items from the PCL (IE: $b = -0.40$, $p = .057$). We also tested an alternative mediation model in which improved subjective sleep-mediated training-related improvements in PTSD symptoms. Once again, improved sleep quality did not mediate the mindfulness-related reduction in PTSD symptoms from T1 to T2 (TE: $b = -5.69$, $p = .002$; DE: $b = -5.08$, $p = .006$; IE: $b = -0.61$, $p = .26$; 11% TE accounted for by improved sleep), but the mediation effect was significant for T1–T3 differences (TE: $b = -4.58$, $p = .040$; DE: $b = -2.24$, $p = .250$; IE: $b = -2.34$, $p = .016$; 51% TE accounted for by improved sleep; Figure S2 in the online supplemental materials). This alternative mediation model remained significant after removing sleep items from the PCL (IE: $b = -1.99$, $p = .016$).

We tested additional mediation models with Fitbit outcomes and found no mediation of reduced PTSD symptoms by changes in objective sleep measures (see Results in the online supplemental materials).

Discussion

In a sample of 114 sworn law enforcement officers, we identified a robust relationship between self-reported PTSD symptoms and subjective sleep quality on the PSQI at baseline. In contrast, there were no relationships between baseline PTSD symptoms and objective sleep metrics from activity trackers worn during the following work week. An 8-week mindfulness intervention resulted in improvements in subjective but not objective sleep measures at a 3-month follow-up assessment, and this improvement was mediated by reductions in PTSD symptoms.

Baseline analyses in this sample of police officers were consistent with previous research demonstrating a robust link between compromised subjective sleep quality and symptoms of PTSD. In a study comparing police officers to nonfirst responders with comparable work schedules, officers had elevated total scores and reduced sleep duration on the PSQI, and sleep disturbances were associated with elevated PTSD symptoms (Neylan et al., 2002). Results are also consistent with a large-scale study in a sample of 591 nonfirst responders with a diagnosis of PTSD, which found complaints of insomnia in 80%–90% of participants and frequent nightmares in 60%–70% of those with PTSD (Leskin et al., 2002).

We extend prior research by showing that police officers randomized to an 8-week mindfulness training program versus waitlist control reported statistically significant (albeit not clinically meaningful) improvements in both PTSD symptoms and subjective sleep quality. Whereas we previously reported these group differences in our primary outcomes paper (Grube, Stoller, et al., 2021), we report here the novel finding that improvements in PTSD symptoms and sleep quality are correlated within participants over time. This suggests either that subjective sleep quality and PTSD symptoms are mechanistically linked or that some third variable targeted by mindfulness training has an influence on each of these outcomes. One potential variable is trait mindfulness, which we found in exploratory analyses to be associated with reduced PTSD symptoms but not improved sleep (see Results in the online supplemental materials). Meta-analyses have shown benefits of MBIs for both sleep quality (Rusch et al., 2019) and PTSD symptoms (Hopwood & Schutte, 2017), but few if any published studies have found these two beneficial outcomes of mindfulness training are mechanistically linked. It has been suggested that addressing sleep disturbances may be a valuable preparatory step for treating PTSD symptoms, as improving sleep can enhance cognitive and emotional skills that bolster the effects of behavioral interventions (Dietch et al., 2019), potentially via changes in the activation (Seo et al., 2021) and functional connectivity (Killgore, 2013) of brain circuitry implicated in emotion regulation and fear extinction learning/recall. An eclectic intervention such as mindfulness training provides participants with tools and strategies to promote better sleep through physiological regulation and relaxation (Guendelman et al., 2017) and respond to traumatic memories with nonjudgmental awareness (rather than avoidance or suppression) while remaining grounded in the present moment (Colgan et al., 2016). Results suggest that for individuals affected both by sleep disturbances and PTSD symptoms, mindfulness may provide an efficient way to address these comorbid complaints simultaneously rather than in isolation or sequentially.

Results of mediation analyses were equivocal regarding the causality of improvements in subjective sleep quality and PTSD symptoms. At the 3-month follow-up, we confirmed our preregistered hypothesis

that the impact of mindfulness training on improved sleep quality was mediated by reductions in PTSD symptoms. Additionally, PTSD symptoms improved immediately following the intervention whereas subjective sleep showed a significant group difference only at 3-month follow-up, providing additional evidence that reduced PTSD symptoms may facilitate longer-term sleep improvements. However, an alternative mediation model in which improved sleep-mediated mindfulness-related reductions in PTSD symptoms was also significant (and, in contrast to our preregistered model, this mediation effect remained significant when removing overlapping sleep items from the PCL). Our *a priori* hypothesis that PTSD symptoms would mediate sleep improvements was motivated by a historical view in which sleep symptoms are considered a secondary consequence of the underlying disorder of PTSD (Spoormaker & Montgomery, 2008). This historical view has been challenged by multiple lines of evidence that poor sleep can be a risk factor for the etiology or maintenance of PTSD. For example, insomnia symptoms 1 month following trauma exposure predict a diagnosis of PTSD a year later (Klein et al., 2003). Further, if disrupted sleep was merely a consequence of PTSD one would expect successful PTSD treatment to also address sleep complaints, yet 77% of active duty soldiers whose PTSD symptoms were successfully treated still had residual sleep problems (Pruksma et al., 2016). Although our results provide some evidence that mindfulness-related improvements in sleep and PTSD symptoms are mechanistically related, additional research is needed to tease apart the temporal and causal nature of this relationship.

Baseline comparisons of subjective and objective sleep metrics demonstrated that Fitbit Charge 2 activity trackers provide objective sleep metrics that are correlated with corresponding subjective sleep metrics in naturalistic settings with police officers. We saw a weak but significant correlation between hours of sleep as recorded by Fitbits and as self-reported by participants on the PSQI. A more robust relationship was observed between Fitbit sleep efficiency and the sleep disturbances subscale on the PSQI. (Fitbit efficiency reflects the proportion of time a participant is in bed and not awake or sleeping restlessly, which we expected would correlate with self-reported sleep difficulties.) Even for this stronger relationship, baseline PSQI sleep disturbances were only correlated at $r = -.39$ with Fitbit efficiency, consistent with earlier reports of modest relationships between subjective and objective sleep metrics (O'Donnell et al., 2009; Pavlova et al., 2008). These two means of assessing sleep provide convergent yet nonredundant information, supporting the use of both measures as intervention outcomes. This is particularly true given the relative paucity of intervention studies utilizing objective measures of sleep and discrepant findings for objective and subjective outcomes in studies of cognitive behavioral therapy for insomnia (CBT-I; Mitchell et al., 2019).

To that end, there was no evidence that objective sleep metrics were related to PTSD symptoms at baseline—consistent with a meta-analysis of actigraphy studies comparing PTSD patients and controls (Lewis et al., 2020)—or that objective sleep metrics changed following an 8-week mindfulness training program. These null findings can be interpreted in one of at least three ways. First, one could conclude that this is a “true null” finding, and that subjective but not objective features of sleep quality are associated with PTSD symptoms at baseline and in response to a mindfulness intervention. This interpretation is consistent with a meta-analysis of sleep changes associated with CBT-I, which found improved subjective sleep quality but minimal effects for objective sleep (Mitchell et al., 2019). Improved

subjective sleep quality following mindfulness training may be an “artifact” of improved psychological symptoms or, similar to findings for CBT-I, a correction of underreporting of sleep relative to objective measures (Lund et al., 2013).

Second, this null finding may reflect measurement error, particularly if activity trackers are not sensitive enough to identify objective features of sleep associated with PTSD symptoms or responsive to a mindfulness intervention. Although Fitbit activity trackers show assessment of sleep/wake times comparable to PSG, these trackers are less accurate at identifying specific sleep stages (de Zambotti et al., 2019). Consumer wearables (such as Fitbits) and research-grade actigraphs have similarly high sensitivity but low specificity in detecting sleep, resulting in underestimates of wake after sleep onset and overestimates of sleep efficiency (de Zambotti et al., 2019). One study comparing the Fitbit Charge 2 to at-home PSG reported a 4% increase in sleep efficiency for Fitbits (Haghighy et al., 2020), and the high levels of sleep efficiency reported here (around 0.95) may indicate a truncated range and lack of sensitivity to change. Because we did not utilize sleep diaries or event markers to indicate bedtime, we also could not estimate sleep onset latency, a component of sleep efficiency that is greater in some studies of PTSD (e.g., Wang et al., 2020) and the only objective sleep parameter to show improvements in a meta-analysis of the insomnia-specific intervention CBT-I (Mitchell et al., 2019).

Third, it is possible that objective features of sleep *are* correlated with PTSD symptoms and sensitive to a mindfulness intervention, and that these activity trackers *are* sensitive enough to capture these features, but this study and others (e.g., Mitchell et al., 2019) did not focus on the “right” objective features. For example, within-participant variability in objective sleep features (as measured “in the wild” using wearable devices) is emerging as a key domain for psychological health. One study showed an association between psychological distress symptoms and greater variability in sleep duration over the course of seven nights, whereas mean sleep duration, sleep onset latency, and time awake after sleep onset showed no such association (Lemola et al., 2013). Within-participant sleep variability using multiple actigraphy measures was greater in a sample of Vietnam veterans with PTSD relative to veterans without PTSD despite the near-absence of differences for mean measures. Similarly, variability in sleep fragmentation but not *mean* sleep fragmentation was positively correlated with PTSD symptoms in a sample of PTSD patients (Howell et al., 2022). At least one study of brief behavioral therapy for insomnia found reduced sleep variability assessed using actigraphy (W. S. Chan et al., 2017; but see Sánchez-Ortuño & Edinger, 2012 for a null finding in a CBT intervention study). Unfortunately, we have insufficient observations for most participants to generate a stable measure of sleep variability: seven nights of sleep is recommended (Rowe et al., 2008), and participants in the current study only contributed four to six nights. An exploratory analysis that utilized sleep variability instead of sleep duration or efficiency failed to find associations with PTSD symptoms or benefits of mindfulness training ($ps > .1$), but given the small number of observations per participant, we are hesitant to interpret this null finding.

Limitations and Future Directions

One limitation of this article, as indicated above, is the small number of Fitbit observations per participant. Although this decision

allowed us to avoid dramatic changes in sleep schedules as participants moved in and out of their work weeks, it may also have contributed to false negative findings for objective sleep measures. To limit participant burden while amplifying the informational value of data collected, future research might also consider including repeated measurements of low-impact outcomes beyond sleep data. For example, Dietch et al. (2019) had participants complete daily brief assessments of PTSD symptoms, sleep duration, and sleep quality over 7 days using ecological momentary assessment. Combined with objective sleep measurement, these measures could provide great insight into dynamic and mechanistic relationships between disrupted sleep and PTSD symptoms in response to MBIs or other interventions believed to address both problems.

As discussed above, the Fitbit Charge 2 used here likely overestimated sleep efficiency, possibly restricting our ability to measure intervention-related changes with high fidelity. Although this is a shared limitation with research-grade actigraphs (de Zambotti et al., 2019), Fitbits and similar consumer wearables have other limitations relative to actigraphy. In contrast with other studies, a Fitbit Charge 2 validation study conducted in shift workers (Stucky et al., 2021) *overestimated* wake after sleep onset, potentially due to the authors’ decision to utilize the “sensitive” rather than the “normal” sleep tracking setting. This illustrates the uncertainty introduced by proprietary and nontransparent data processing algorithms, most of which have not been independently validated, and which keep researchers at a greater distance from the raw data. Compared to actigraphs, it is more challenging to blind participants to their own data with Fitbits or other consumer wearables. We removed sleep information and other tiles from the Fitbit app screen and asked participants not to modify this screen, but we cannot with certainty say participants complied with this instruction. Additional concerns have been raised about the absence of regulation of these devices and concerns about privacy, data security, and other ethical considerations (de Zambotti et al., 2020). Researchers should weigh these concerns against the benefits of consumer-grade devices—which are generally less expensive, less obtrusive, and easier to use than actigraphs—when considering what device to utilize.

Caution is warranted in extending findings from this relatively healthy and unselected sample of police officers to clinically diagnosed PTSD or insomnia. The mean baseline PCL-5 score of 17.5 was well below the screening cutoff for a PTSD diagnosis (31), and although 70% of participants exceeded the PSQI cutoff for “poor” sleep quality (5), this is not a diagnostic instrument. One potential consequence of these low symptom levels was correspondingly modest postmindfulness reductions in PTSD and insomnia symptoms, which were statistically significant but not clinically meaningful. Future studies selecting participants based on elevated PTSD and/or insomnia symptoms will be helpful in extending findings in a more symptomatic group, which may reveal more robust effects of mindfulness training on both subjective and objective outcomes. Finally, although 41% of participants identified as female—which is notable in the male-dominated law enforcement profession—our sample was over 80% white and non-Hispanic, and consisted entirely of law enforcement officers from a single urban Wisconsin county. As such, future work is needed to generalize study results to non-White officers from other geographical regions or the larger population of trauma-exposed individuals.

References

- Babson, K. A., & Feldner, M. T. (2010). Temporal relations between sleep problems and both traumatic event exposure and PTSD: A critical review of the empirical literature. *Journal of Anxiety Disorders*, 24(1), 1–15. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.janxdis.2009.08.002>
- Blevins, C. A., Weathers, F. W., Davis, M. T., Witte, T. K., & Domino, J. L. (2015). The posttraumatic stress disorder checklist for DSM-5 (PCL-5): Development and initial psychometric evaluation. *Journal of Traumatic Stress*, 28(6), 489–498. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jts.22059>
- Buyssse, D. J., Reynolds, C. F., Monk, T. H., Berman, S. R., & Kupfer, D. J. (1989). The Pittsburgh sleep quality index: A new instrument for psychiatric practice and research. *Psychiatry Research*, 28(2), 193–213. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0165-1781\(89\)90047-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/0165-1781(89)90047-4)
- Chan, S. H.-W., Lui, D., Chan, H., Sum, K., Cheung, A., Yip, H., & Yu, C. H. (2022). Effects of mindfulness-based intervention programs on sleep among people with common mental disorders: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *World Journal of Psychiatry*, 12(4), 636–650. <https://doi.org/10.5498/wjpw.v12.i4.636>
- Chan, W. S., Williams, J., Dautovich, N. D., McNamara, J. P. H., Stripling, A., Dzierzewski, J. M., Berry, R. B., McCoy, K. J. M., & McCrae, C. S. (2017). Night-to-night sleep variability in older adults with chronic insomnia: Mediators and moderators in a randomized controlled trial of brief behavioral therapy (BBT-I). *Journal of Clinical Sleep Medicine*, 13(11), 1243–1254. <https://doi.org/10.5664/jcsm.6790>
- Chen, T.-L., Chang, S.-C., Hsieh, H.-F., Huang, C.-Y., Chuang, J.-H., & Wang, H.-H. (2020). Effects of mindfulness-based stress reduction on sleep quality and mental health for insomnia patients: A meta-analysis. *Journal of Psychosomatic Research*, 135, Article 110144. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpsychores.2020.110144>
- Chopko, B. A., Palmieri, P. A., & Adams, R. E. (2021). Trauma-related sleep problems and associated health outcomes in police officers: A path analysis. *Journal of Interpersonal Violence*, 36(5–6), NP2725–NP2748. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0886260518767912>
- Christopher, M. S., Goerling, R. J., Rogers, B. S., Hunsinger, M., Baron, G., Bergman, A. L., & Zava, D. T. (2016). A pilot study evaluating the effectiveness of a mindfulness-based intervention on cortisol awakening response and health outcomes among law enforcement officers. *Journal of Police and Criminal Psychology*, 31(1), 15–28. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11896-015-9161-x>
- Colgan, D. D., Christopher, M., Michael, P., & Wahbeh, H. (2016). The body scan and mindful breathing among veterans with PTSD: Type of intervention moderates the relationship between changes in mindfulness and post-treatment depression. *Mindfulness*, 7(2), 372–383. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12671-015-0453-0>
- de Zambotti, M., Cellini, N., Goldstone, A., Colrain, I. M., & Baker, F. C. (2019). Wearable sleep technology in clinical and research settings. *Medicine & Science in Sports & Exercise*, 51(7), 1538–1557. <https://doi.org/10.1249/MSS.0000000000001947>
- de Zambotti, M., Cellini, N., Menghini, L., Sarlo, M., & Baker, F. C. (2020). Sensors capabilities, performance, and use of consumer sleep technology. *Sleep Medicine Clinics*, 15(1), 1–30. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsmc.2019.11.003>
- Dietch, J. R., Ruggero, C. J., Schuler, K., Taylor, D. J., Luft, B. J., & Kotov, R. (2019). Posttraumatic stress disorder symptoms and sleep in the daily lives of world trade center responders. *Journal of Occupational Health Psychology*, 24(6), 689–702. <https://doi.org/10.1037/ocp0000158>
- Gross, C. R., Kreitzer, M. J., Reilly-Spong, M., Wall, M., Winbush, N. Y., Patterson, R., Mahowald, M., & Cramer-Bornemann, M. (2011). Mindfulness-based stress reduction versus pharmacotherapy for chronic primary insomnia: A randomized controlled clinical trial. *EXPLORE*, 7(2), 76–87. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.explore.2010.12.003>
- Grupe, D. W., & Imhoff-Smith, T. (2021, February 11). *PTSD symptoms, objective and subjective sleep metrics, and their modification by mindfulness training*. <https://osf.io/enam6>
- Grupe, D. W., McGehee, C., Smith, C., Francis, A. D., Mumford, J. A., & Davidson, R. J. (2021). Mindfulness training reduces PTSD symptoms and improves stress-related health outcomes in police officers. *Journal of Police and Criminal Psychology*, 36(1), 72–85. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11896-019-09351-4>
- Grupe, D. W., Stoller, J. L., Alonso, C., McGehee, C., Smith, C., Mumford, J. A., Rosenkranz, M. A., & Davidson, R. J. (2021). The impact of mindfulness training on police officer stress, mental health, and salivary cortisol levels. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 12(September), Article 720753. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2021.720753>
- Guendelman, S., Medeiros, S., & Rampes, H. (2017). Mindfulness and emotion regulation: Insights from neurobiological, psychological, and clinical studies. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 8, Article 220. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2017.00220>
- Haghighy, S., Khoshnevis, S., Smolensky, M. H., Diller, K. R., & Castriotta, R. J. (2020). Performance assessment of new-generation fitbit technology in deriving sleep parameters and stages. *Chronobiology International*, 37(1), 47–59. <https://doi.org/10.1080/07420528.2019.1682006>
- Hopwood, T. L., & Schutte, N. S. (2017). A meta-analytic investigation of the impact of mindfulness-based interventions on post traumatic stress. *Clinical Psychology Review*, 57(April), 12–20. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpr.2017.08.002>
- Howell, K., Casteen, E., Rahimi-Eichi, H., Akman, E., Baker, J., Ravichandran, C., Rauch, S., & Rosso, I. (2022). Objective index of sleep fragmentation correlates with smaller hippocampi in posttraumatic stress disorder. *Biological Psychiatry*, 91(9), S345–S346. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopsych.2022.02.870>
- Kabat-Zinn, J. (1990). *Full catastrophe living: Using the wisdom of your body and mind to face stress, pain and illness*. Delacorte.
- Kelly, A., & Garland, E. L. (2016). Trauma-Informed mindfulness-based stress reduction for female survivors of interpersonal violence: Results from a stage I RCT. *Journal of Clinical Psychology*, 72(4), 311–328. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jclp.22273>
- Kessler, R. C., Berglund, P., Demler, O., Jin, R., Merikangas, K. R., & Walters, E. E. (2005). Lifetime prevalence and age-of-onset distributions of DSM-IV disorders in the national comorbidity survey replication. *Archives of General Psychiatry*, 62(6), 593–602. <https://doi.org/10.1001/archpsyc.62.6.593>
- Killgore, W. D. S. (2013). Self-reported sleep correlates with prefrontal-amygdala functional connectivity and emotional functioning. *Sleep*, 36(11), 1597–1608. <https://doi.org/10.5665/sleep.3106>
- Klein, E., Koren, D., Aron, I., & Lavie, P. (2003). Sleep complaints are not corroborated by objective sleep measures in posttraumatic stress disorder: A 1-year prospective study in survivors of motor vehicle crashes. *Journal of Sleep Research*, 12(1), 35–41. <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2869.2003.00334.x>
- Lemola, S., Ledermann, T., & Friedman, E. M. (2013). Variability of sleep duration is related to subjective sleep quality and subjective well-being: An actigraphy study. *PLoS One*, 8(8), Article e71292. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0071292>
- Leskin, G. A., Woodward, S. H., Young, H. E., & Sheikh, J. I. (2002). Effects of comorbid diagnoses on sleep disturbance in PTSD. *Journal of Psychiatric Research*, 36(6), 449–452. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-3956\(02\)00025-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-3956(02)00025-0)
- Lewis, C., Lewis, K., Kitchiner, N., Isaac, S., Jones, I., & Bisson, J. I. (2020). Sleep disturbance in post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD): A systematic review and meta-analysis of actigraphy studies. *European Journal of Psychotraumatology*, 11(1), Article 1767349. <https://doi.org/10.1080/20008198.2020.1767349>
- Lund, H. G., Rybaczky, B. D., Perrin, P. B., Leszczyszyn, D., & Stepanski, E. (2013). The discrepancy between subjective and objective measures of sleep

- in older adults receiving CBT for comorbid insomnia. *Journal of Clinical Psychology*, 69(10), 1108–1120. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jclp.21938>
- Mitchell, L. J., Bisdounis, L., Balesio, A., Omlin, X., & Kyle, S. D. (2019). The impact of cognitive behavioural therapy for insomnia on objective sleep parameters: A meta-analysis and systematic review. *Sleep Medicine Reviews*, 47, 90–102. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.smrv.2019.06.002>
- Neylan, T. C., Metzler, T. J., Best, S. R., Weiss, D. S., Fagan, J. A., Liberman, A. M., Roger, C., Vedantham, K., Brunet, A., Lipsey, T. L., Marmar, C. R., Rogers, C., Vedantham, K., Brunet, A., Lipsey, T. L., Marmar, C. R., Roger, C., Vedantham, K., Brunet, A., ... Marmar, C. R. (2002). Critical incident exposure and sleep quality in police officers. *Psychosomatic Medicine*, 64(2), 345–352. <https://doi.org/10.1097/00006842-200203000-00019>
- O'Donnell, D., Silva, E. J., Münch, M., Ronda, J. M., Wang, W., & Duffy, J. F. (2009). Comparison of subjective and objective assessments of sleep in healthy older subjects without sleep complaints. *Journal of Sleep Research*, 18(2), 254–263. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2869.2008.00719.x>
- Pavlova, M. K., Duffy, J. F., & Shea, S. A. (2008). Polysomnographic respiratory abnormalities in asymptomatic individuals. *Sleep*, 31(2), 241–248. <https://doi.org/10.1093/sleep/31.2.241>
- Perini, F., Wong, K. F., Lin, J., Hassirim, Z., Ong, J. L., Lo, J., Ong, J. C., Doshi, K., & Lim, J. (2023). Mindfulness-based therapy for insomnia for older adults with sleep difficulties: A randomized clinical trial. *Psychological Medicine*, 53(3), 1038–1048. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0033291721002476>
- Pruikma, K. E., Taylor, D. J., Wachen, J. S., Mintz, J., Young-McCaughan, S., Peterson, A. L., Yarvis, J. S., Borah, E. V., Dondanville, K. A., Litz, B. T., Hembree, E. A., & Resick, P. A. (2016). Residual sleep disturbances following PTSD treatment in active duty military personnel. *Psychological Trauma: Theory, Research, Practice, and Policy*, 8(6), 697–701. <https://doi.org/10.1037/tra0000150>
- Rajaratnam, S. M. W., Barger, L. K., Lockley, S. W., Shea, S. A., Wang, W., Landrigan, C. P., O'Brien, C. S., Qadri, S., Sullivan, J. P., Cade, B. E., Epstein, L. J., White, D. P., Czeisler, C. A., & Harvard Work Hours, Health and Safety Group, for the. (2011). Sleep disorders, health, and safety in police officers. *JAMA*, 306(23), 2567–2578. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2011.1851>
- R Core Team. (2020). *R: A language and environment for statistical computing*. <https://www.r-project.org/>
- Rowe, M., McCrae, C., Campbell, J., Home, C., Tiegs, T., Lehman, B., & Cheng, J. (2008). Actigraphy in older adults: Comparison of means and variability of three different aggregates of measurement. *Behavioral Sleep Medicine*, 6(2), 127–145. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15402000801952872>
- Rusch, H. L., Rosario, M., Levison, L. M., Olivera, A., Livingston, W. S., Wu, T., & Gill, J. M. (2019). The effect of mindfulness meditation on sleep quality: A systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences*, 1445(1), 5–16. <https://doi.org/10.1111/nyas.13996>
- Sánchez-Ortuño, M. M., & Edinger, J. D. (2012). Overnight sleep variability: Its clinical significance and responsiveness to treatment in primary and comorbid insomnia. *Journal of Sleep Research*, 21(5), 527–534. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2869.2012.01010.x>
- Seo, J., Pace-Schott, E. F., Milad, M. R., Song, H., & Germain, A. (2021). Partial and total sleep deprivation interferes with neural correlates of consolidation of fear extinction memory. *Biological Psychiatry: Cognitive Neuroscience and Neuroimaging*, 6(3), 299–309. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bpsc.2020.09.013>
- Shaif, N. A. S., Doshi, K., & Lim, J. (2022). Effects of mindfulness-based therapy for insomnia and a sleep hygiene/exercise programme on subjective-objective sleep discrepancy in older adults with sleep disturbances: Exploratory secondary analysis of a randomised clinical trial. *Journal of Sleep Research*, 31(6), Article e13700. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jsr.13700>
- Spoormaker, V. I., & Montgomery, P. (2008). Disturbed sleep in post-traumatic stress disorder: Secondary symptom or core feature? *Sleep Medicine Reviews*, 12(3), 169–184. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.smrv.2007.08.008>
- Stein, M. B., Walker, J. R., Hazen, A. L., & Forde, D. R. (1997). Full and partial posttraumatic stress disorder: Findings from a community survey. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, 154(8), 1114–1119. <https://doi.org/10.1176/ajp.154.8.1114>
- Stucky, B., Clark, I., Azza, Y., Karlen, W., Achermann, P., Kleim, B., & Landolt, H. P. (2021). Validation of fitbit charge 2 sleep and heart rate estimates against polysomnographic measures in shift workers: Naturalistic study. *Journal of Medical Internet Research*, 23(10), Article e26476. <https://doi.org/10.2196/26476>
- Syed, S., Ashwick, R., Schlosser, M., Jones, R., Rowe, S., & Billings, J. (2020). Global prevalence and risk factors for mental health problems in police personnel: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Occupational and Environmental Medicine*, 77(11), 737–747. <https://doi.org/10.1136/oemed-2020-106498>
- Tuckey, M. R., Winwood, P. C., & Dollard, M. F. (2012). Psychosocial culture and pathways to psychological injury within policing. *Police Practice and Research*, 13(3), 224–240. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15614263.2011.574072>
- Wang, Y. Y., Wang, F., Zheng, W., Zhang, L., Ng, C. H., Ungvari, G. S., & Xiang, Y. T. (2020). Mindfulness-based interventions for insomnia: A meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Behavioral Sleep Medicine*, 18(1), 1–9. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15402002.2018.1518228>
- Yeh, M. S. L., Poyares, D., Coimbra, B. M., Mello, A. F., Tufik, S., & Mello, M. F. (2021). Subjective and objective sleep quality in young women with posttraumatic stress disorder following sexual assault: A prospective study. *European Journal of Psychotraumatology*, 12(1), Article 1934788. <https://doi.org/10.1080/20008198.2021.1934788>

Received September 21, 2022

Revision received June 26, 2023

Accepted July 12, 2023 ■